Can sorafenib be discontinued in hepatocellular carcinoma patients with a complete response to treatment?
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INTRODUCTION

Sorafenib is a multi-kinase inhibitor that inhibits angiogenesis by targeting the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 and platelet-derived growth factor receptor pathways while blocking cell proliferation by targeting the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathway. Two global phase III trials [Sorafenib Hepatocellular Carcinoma Assessment Randomized Protocol (SHARP)][1] and Asia-Pacific trial[2] showed that sorafenib prolonged the survival of patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The results of these studies were rapidly disseminated worldwide and were enthusiastically accepted by physicians specializing in liver cancer treatment. Based on the positive results of the SHARP trial,[1] the EU and USA approved sorafenib for advanced HCC in October and November 2007, respectively. Sorafenib was also approved for patients with unresectable and metastatic HCC in July 2008 in China, but patients have to pay the cost by themselves.

Thereafter, clinicians studied whether enhanced benefits could be derived from combining sorafenib with other therapeutic means. Several studies[3,4] assessed optimal combinations of sorafenib with transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) or radiofrequency ablation, as well as the sequence of treatment modalities in order to maximize patients’ outcomes. Since then, an increasing number of complete remission (CR) cases were reported.[5] For patients who have achieved CR, whether sorafenib can be discontinued remains unknown.

PRESENTATION OF THE HYPOTHESIS

Most cases in which sorafenib was discontinued during the course of treatment for HCC resulted from severe adverse events.[1,2] For patients who have achieved CR, we proposed the concept that sorafenib may be discontinued in CR cases, taking into consideration the high cost for such patients in poor societies, particularly those in developing countries with restrictive coverage for certain pharmaceuticals from national health insurance systems.

SUPPORTIVE OBSERVATION FOR THIS HYPOTHESIS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Recently, a 39-year-old male patient who was diagnosed with HCC (2 cm in diameter) on May 6, 2010 was admitted. He could not receive radical therapy (hepatic resection or radiofrequency ablation) because the tumor was located very close to the right branch of the portal vein. Therefore, he received TACE plus sorafenib therapy. One week later, he had severe drug-related diarrhea (4-8 times bowel movement daily). Five weeks after treatment, the tumor was not detected on both computed tomography scan and...
magnetic resonance imaging. Thereafter, he discontinued sorafenib therapy because he could not afford the treatment cost. Up to April 30, 2015, he had CR of tumor status for 58 months.

As we know, most patients treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) would suffer from drug-related adverse effects. Discontinuation of treatment with TKIs could improve quality-of-life and reduce treatment costs for patients in which a CR is achieved. To our knowledge, there are several case reports concerning this issue [Table 1], and it seems that relapse of tumor hardly happens in patients who have achieved CR after sorafenib monotherapy, irrespective of drug discontinuation or not. For patients who received sorafenib in combination with other therapeutic means, the CR status may be partly due to the combination treatment, like TACE. Therefore, we propose that sorafenib should be discontinued to reduce the drug-related adverse effects. However, discontinuation of sorafenib in patients with CR carries the risk of progression with new metastases and potential complications. Further investigation in a larger cohort of cases is warranted before such an approach can be regarded as safe. However, this methodology has limitations associated with the small sample of CR cases and interference of other therapeutic means, and hence it may just be a hypothesis.

CONCLUSION

Sorafenib has demonstrated clinical efficacy in HCC patients, and more and more CR cases were reported. For patients who have achieved CR, we propose that sorafenib may be discontinued, irrespective of whether it was used as monotherapy or combination therapy with other therapeutic means. Evaluation of the current hypothesis and investigation with a larger cohort of cases may provide information that such an approach can be regarded as safe, and thereby improves quality-of-life and reduces treatment costs for patients in which a CR is achieved.
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